

There were: 21 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	Top Two	Avg	IAFF Avg	IAFF SD	Sch Avg	Sch SD	Not At All	2	3	4	Very Well	N/A		
1	Integration of secondary course	15	100%	5	4.4	0.9	4.4	0.9	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%		
2	Increased critical thinking	14	100%	5	4.3	1.0	4.3	1.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%		
									Gened	Required	Interest	Instructor	Schedule	Advisor	Friend	Other
4	Primary reasons for taking course	15							60%	87%	40%	0%	7%	7%	0%	0%
									Not At All	2	3	4	Very	N/A		
5	Intellectual challenge	15	87%	4.1	3.8	1.0	3.8	1.0	0%	0%	13%	60%	27%	0%		
6	Knowledgeable (Szarejko)	15	100%	4.9	4.8	0.5	4.8	0.5	0%	0%	0%	7%	93%	0%		
									Low	2	3	4	High	N/A		
7	Enthusiasm (Szarejko)	15	100%	4.8	4.7	0.6	4.7	0.6	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	0%		
									Str Disagree	2	3	4	Str Agree	N/A		
8	Treats students with respect (Szarejko)	15	100%	5	4.8	0.6	4.8	0.6	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%		
									Not Fair	2	3	4	Very Fair	N/A		
9	Fair grading (Szarejko)	15	100%	4.9	4.5	0.8	4.5	0.8	0%	0%	0%	7%	93%	0%		
									Not At All	2	3	4	Excellent	N/A		
10	Feedback (Szarejko)	15	100%	4.9	4.4	1.0	4.4	1.0	0%	0%	0%	7%	93%	0%		
									Poor	2	3	4	Excellent			
11	Overall rating of instructor (Szarejko)	15	100%	4.9	4.5	0.9	4.5	0.9	0%	0%	0%	7%	93%			

Text Responses

Question: Use this space for any comments you may have about your discussion, lab, or recitation, etc.

Mr. Szarejko did a great job of facilitating discussions in class and keeping the group engaged. Additionally, he went above and beyond in treating all students with respect and open to their viewpoints.

Andrew was the reason this discussion section was a success. Andrew has an amazing ability to listen to a student's comments and then responding to the student by repeating back the comments but in a manner which communicates a strong understanding and high level of awareness. This added depth to the comments and most often allows the student to understand his or her own comments better. Andrew emailed the topics and questions we would discuss the night prior to class which helped student come prepared to the nights discussion section. Andrew always responded to emails, questions, and concerns timely, accurately, and with a high regard to helping the student. I can personally attest that Andrew took time to read my emails and respond to everyone reciprocating an equal amount of input. I feel very fortunate to have been part of his discussion section.

This discussion course really helped to enhance my understandings of course-work.

Good, thoughtful discussion where everyone had opportunity to contribute Andrew did a good job of asking probing questions, acknowledging differing viewpoints, and facilitating discussion to cover all the necessary points in our allotted time

Andrew was great, always engaged everyone equally and came up with creative ways to get us thinking about the material covered in class.

I really appreciated how he made the discussion session not about regurgitating the reading but about broader synthesis of the topics.

Andrew prepared provocative and stimulating questions to incite debate

Andrew did an excellent job of engaging everyone and signaling that he was attentively listening. His responses were valuable and thought provoking. His feedback on the papers were also of value and helped guide me on constructing future papers.

I thoroughly enjoyed our discussion sections and felt like I better understood the material. Georgetown is very lucky! I really appreciated having having the emails beforehand with questions to stimulate and assist with readings. He really is an excellent TA and it's too bad we won't be able to have him as a Professor!